(3) CH 09K

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION No. 3516 /2003

PETITIONER

HET RAM MIRRE
Aged about 60 years, S/o Late Shri M.R.Mirre, R/o Village Khaparidih Tahsil and Distt. Janjgir Champa

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh through Secretary Panchyat and Rural Department, DKS Bhawan, Raipur.

2. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchyat,Patheriya, Tahsil Mungeli, Distt. Bilaspur

3. Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchyat, Bilaspur.

P. R. No. 3315/03
Presented by Shri Parag Kotecha
dated 26.12.03

RECEIVED-COPY
Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(15)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP No. 3516 / सन् 2003

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	06.02.2004 Shri Parag Kotecha, counsel for the petitioner. Shri P. Das, Panel Lawyer, for the State. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India being aggrieved on account of the inaction on the part of the respondents. As per the petitioner's case he was appointed as Gram Sevak on 02/08/1965 and thereafter he was promoted on 01.08.1998 as Development Extension Officer and from that post he retired on 30.06.2003. After retirement the petitioner has made representation to the concerned authorities for releasing his 2½ months salary, pension and other retrial benefits but the respondents are not releasing his salary, pension, gratuity and other retrial benefits for which the petitioner is entitled, therefore, the respondents be directed to pay the retrial benefits and interest thereon @ 18%. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents are not considering the representation made by the petitioner, therefore, he submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to the respondent No.1 and respondents	

(16)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP No. 3516 सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

No.1 may be directed to decide the pension case of the petitioner within a period of one month, to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 within ten days from today and in turn, respondent No.1 shall direct the concerned authorities to consider the case of the petitioner for pension and retrial benefits and grant the retrial benefits to the petitioner which are admissible to him as per rules.

With the aforesaid direction the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently M.W.P. No.3188 /2003 also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per Rules.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Roshan

[पीछे देखिये